## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY G. BROWN #A-72335,	)		
Plaintiff,	)		
V •	)	No.	11 C 1717
MARCUS HARDY, WARDEN,	)		
Defendant.	)		

## MEMORANDUM ORDER

Anthony Brown ("Brown") has filed a bulky self-prepared 42 U.S.C. §1983 Complaint against Stateville Correctional Center Warden Marcus Hardy, complaining of just about everything at Stateville. Brown has accompanied his Complaint with two other Clerk's-Office-provided forms: an In Forma Pauperis Application ("Application") and a Motion for Appointment of Counsel ("Motion"). This memorandum order is issued sua sponte to address flaws in those last two forms.

As for the Application, it does not cover the entire sixmonth period prescribed by 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(2)(in this instance
probably September 7, 2010 through March 6, 2011<sup>1</sup>, so that this
Court cannot make the calculation called for by 28 U.S.C.
§1915(b)(1). Accordingly this action cannot go forward until
Brown provides the necessary information (and if he does not do
so on or before April 1, 2011, both the Complaint and this action

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Those dates are concededly estimates, based on Brown's March 6 dating of the Motion and a best guess as to application of the "mailbox rule."

will be dismissed without prejudice).

As for the Motion, our Court of Appeals teaches that any plaintiff who wishes to obtain the services of a pro bono lawyer must make an affirmative showing of unsuccessful efforts to obtain counsel on his or her own. It will not do to say simply (as Brown has) that he lacks funds. Accordingly the Motion is denied, and for the present Brown will have to go it alone.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Willan D Shaden

Date: March 15, 2011